Appendix E – Cultural Heritage Management Plan





Nolans Rare Earth Project, Nolans Bore, Northern Territory: Cultural Heritage Management Plan

Prepared for GHD on behalf of Arafura Resources Limited

February 2016





AUTHOR/HERITAGE ADVISOR	Ngaire Richards
PROPONENT	GHD on behalf of Arafura Resources Ltd
PROJECT NAME	SYD14051 Nolans Project NT
REAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	NT Portion 703
DATE	February 2016

AHMS INTERNAL REVIEW/SIGN OFF						
WRITTEN BY	DATE	VERSION	REVIEWED	APPROVED		
Ngaire Richards	12.01.2016	1	Alan Williams	18.1.16		
Ngaire Richards	9.02.2016	2 (minor edits)	Alan Williams	10.2.16		

#### **Copyright and Moral Rights**

Historical sources and reference materials used in the preparation of this report are acknowledged and referenced in figure captions or in text citations. Reasonable effort has been made to identify contact, acknowledge and obtain permission to use material from the relevant copyright owners.

Unless otherwise specified in the contract terms for this project AHMS:

- Vests copyright of all material produced by AHMS (but excluding pre-existing material and material in which copyright is held by a third party) in the client for this project (and the client's successors in title);
- Retains the use of all material produced by AHMS for this project for AHMS ongoing business and for professional
  presentations, academic papers or publications.

#### ARCHAEOLOGICAL & HERITAGE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS

ABN 45 088 058 388 ACN 088 058 388

www.ahms.com.au info@ahms.com.au

........

SYDNEY 2/729 Elizabeth St Waterloo NSW 2017 P 02 9555 4000 F 02 9555 7005 MELBOURNE 2/35 Hope St Brunswick VIC 3056 P 03 9388 0622 PERTH

25/108 St Georges Tce Perth WA 6000 P 08 9381 5206

......

.....

#### **CONTENTS**

1	I	NT	RODUCTION	4
	1.1		Project Background	4
	1.2		Purpose of this Plan	4
	1	1.2.1	1 Objectives	4
	1.3		Project Area	5
	1.4		Project Proposal	5
	1.5		Legislative Context	6
	1	1.5.′	1 Approvals and Certificates	7
2	I	DE	INTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT	9
	2.1		Historic and Cultural Heritage Items	9
	2.2		Potential Impacts	0
	2	2.2.	1 Direct Impacts10	0
	2	2.2.2	2 Indirect Impacts	0
	2.3		Sacred Sites	0
3	ľ	MA	NAGEMENT AND MITIGATION	4
	3.1		Mitigation Measures	4
	3.2		Unexpected Finds1	1
	3.3		Consultation and Communication1	1
4	E	EN	VIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND RISK ASSESSMENT	2
	4.1		Risk Assessment12	2
	4.2		Control Measures	2
	4.3		Accidental Impacts	4
5	(	CO	MPLIANCE	5
	5.1		Training1	5
	5.2		Monitoring1	5
	5.3		Reporting10	6
6	F	RO	LES AND RESPONSIBILITIES1	7
7	F	RE	VIEW OF THIS PLAN	9

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.	Summary of legislative context for the Project	6
Table 2.	Historic and cultural heritage items in the vicinity of the Project area	9
Table 3.	Mitigation measures for cultural heritage items.	5
Table 4.	Key activities, risks and impacts.	12
Table 5.	Planned controls to manage risk	12
Table 6.	Project personnel roles and responsibilities	17

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Ciguro 1	Dranged Nalana Dara Forth Draiget configuration	o
FIGULE I.		0
		-

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 Historic and cultural heritage sites
- Appendix 2 Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority Authority Certificates
- Appendix 3 Mitigation Measures
- Appendix 4 Procedure for submitting an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object
- Appendix 5 Unexpected Finds Procedure Historic and cultural heritage items
- Appendix 6 Unexpected Finds Procedure Suspected human remains
- Appendix 7 Risk Matrix
- Appendix 8 Heritage Inspection Register

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Project Background

Arafura Resources Limited (hereafter the 'Proponent') proposes to develop a rare earths mine, and associated processing facilities, at Nolans Bore in the Northern Territory (NT) (hereafter the 'Project'). The Project has been determined to be a controlled action, requiring approval under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). It is being assessed under a bilateral agreement between the Australian and NT Governments, made under section 45 of the EPBC Act.

The Northern Territory *Environmental Assessment Administrative Procedures* (EAAP) require that matters affecting the environment are considered when proposed actions are assessed, including impacts on places and items with historic or cultural heritage values. GHD is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Project, in accordance with Terms of Reference issued by the NT Environment Protection Authority under Clause 8 of the EAAP.

Following an archaeological investigation of the Project area (AHMS 2015), Archaeological and Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) has been commissioned by GHD to develop a Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP) for the EIS. The relevant Terms of Reference are:

#### 5.10.3 Mitigation

The EIS should describe measures to prevent and/or mitigate risks of impacts to existing sites or items of historic and cultural heritage in a Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP). The CHMP should include:

- procedures to avoid significant sites and areas
- protection of key sites during construction, operation and decommissioning work
- measures to enable the Proponent, or contractor to the Proponent, to meet its duty of care to protect the cultural and heritage values of any places or items of significance
- procedures for the discovery of surface or sub-surface items during the course of the Project.

#### 5.10.4 Monitoring

The CHMP should include details of a monitoring and reporting program to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures. The monitoring and reporting program should identify when further action is required and outline contingency measures should the proposed mitigation measures result in degradation to the values of sites or items with heritage or cultural significance.

#### **1.2 Purpose of this Plan**

This CHMP describes the protection measures and procedures to be implemented for the management and mitigation of impacts on known, and as yet unknown, historic and Aboriginal cultural heritage items (sites, places or objects) during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project. The operational life of the Project is expected to be 43 years.

#### 1.2.1 Objectives

The objectives of this CHMP are to:

- summarise potential impacts on identified heritage items arising from the Project;
- describe how measures will be implemented to prevent heritage impacts;
- provide specific guidelines for the mitigation of impacts to known heritage items that will be directly and indirectly impacted by the Project;
- provide procedures for the management of unexpected finds (surface or sub-surface items), including human skeletal remains;
- provide procedures for community consultation and communication; and
- outline an effective monitoring and reporting framework to assess the effectiveness of the management and mitigation measures.

#### 1.3 Project Area

Nolans Bore is located approximately 135km north west of Alice Springs, and 10km west of the Stuart Highway near Aileron, NT (**Figure 1**). The Project comprises areas of proposed works within exploration licences EL28473, EL28498, EL29509 and EL29905, on land within the Aileron Perpetual Pastoral Lease (PPL 1097), NT Portion 703.

### **1.4 Project Proposal**

The proposed Project configuration includes three key areas: the Mine Site (ML26659), Processing Site, and Borefield area (**Figure 1**). Infrastructure required to support the Project includes:

- Site access roads, comprising:
- o Access road from the Stuart Highway;
- o Access road and service corridor between the Processing Site and the Mine Site;
- o Access road and service corridor to the accommodation village; and
- Access track and service corridor to the borefield.
- Site buildings, comprising:
- Administration building;
- o Concentrator control rooms and operations centre;
- o Concentrator maintenance workshop and warehouse;
- o Concentrator reagents store;
- o Dangerous goods storage;
- o Rare Earth (RE) Intermediate Plant control room and operations centre;
- o RE Intermediate Plant maintenance workshop and warehouse;

- o RE Intermediate Plant reagents and product warehouse;
- o Laboratory;
- o Security building;
- Medical and emergency services centre; and
- o Heavy and light vehicle wash station and weighbridge.
- Borefield and raw water supply pipeline to the Processing Site and Mine Site;
- Potable water supply and sewerage treatment;
- Accommodation village (based on a 400 person requirement);
- Concentrate slurry, filtrate return and water pipelines and pumps between Concentrator and RE Intermediate Plant;
- Power supply from gas and steam turbine-generators;
- Power distribution including overhead lines, HV switchgear and transformers from the RE Intermediate Plant to the Concentrator, accommodation village and borefield; and
- Tailings Storage Facilities (TSFs) and Residue Storage Facilities (RSFs).

### **1.5 Legislative Context**

There are several Commonwealth and Territory Acts relevant to the protection and management of Indigenous and historic heritage in the Northern Territory. These are summarised below in **Table 1**.

Legislation	Description	Register	Details
Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1986 (Cth)	Preserves and protects areas and objects of particular significance to Aboriginal Australians from injury or desecration.	Case by case basis dealt with by the Minister, Department of the Environment.	No Aboriginal areas or objects are currently subject to a Declaration.
Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)	Protects natural, historic and Indigenous heritage places that are of outstanding universal value, outstanding significance to the nation; or that are owned or controlled by the Australian Government.	World Heritage List National Heritage List Commonwealth Heritage List	No sites or places are currently listed on the World Heritage List, National Heritage List, or Commonwealth Heritage List. The Project has been determined as a 'controlled action' under this Act, and is being assessed by the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority.
Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)	Establishes the National Native Title Tribunal, which administers rights and interests over lands and waters by Aboriginal people.	No formal register of sites, however Native Title Representative Bodies can record places and negotiate land use and management conditions according to an Indigenous Land Use Agreement (ILUA) on	There is one native title determination covering part of the Project area (DCD2013/001 - Napperby Perpetual Pastoral Lease), and two registered claimant applications (DC2014/002 - Aileron Pastoral Lease; DC2007/002 - Aileron).

 Table 1.
 Summary of legislative context for the Project.

		behalf of Traditional Owners.	
Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976 (Cth)	Establishes the role of Aboriginal Land Councils in the NT, and contains provisions to protect sacred sites.	Register of Cultural Sites	The Project is within the Central Land Council administrative boundary. A number of sacred sites have been recorded in the Project area.
Heritage Act 2011 (NT)	Provides blanket protection for Aboriginal and Macassan archaeological places and objects across the NT, and other places, classes of places, or objects which the Heritage Council considers to be of heritage significance.	Northern Territory Heritage Register Archaeological Site Register	A summary of Aboriginal archaeological places and objects, and potential historic heritage places is attached in <b>Appendix 1</b> . There are no nominated or declared heritage places in the vicinity of the Project area.
Aboriginal Sacred Sites Act 1989 (NT)	Provides blanket protection for sacred sites in the NT, and establishes the Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority (APAA) which is responsible for issuing Authority Certificates that set out conditions for carrying out proposed works or using land in the vicinity of sacred sites.	Register of Sacred Sites	Sacred site clearances have been undertaken with the AAPA, and Authority Certificates were issued in 2008 for works associated with mining and access to the mine site (C2008/205), and in 2013 for mineral exploration activities (C2013/205). Copies of the certificates are attached in <b>Appendix 2</b> .

#### 1.5.1 Approvals and Certificates

Assessment of the Project as a controlled action under the EPBC Act does not remove the requirement to obtain certain statutory approvals including:

- the requirement to obtain a work approval from the Chief Executive Officer of the • Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment to carry out work on heritage places or objects under the Heritage Act 2011; and
- the requirement to obtain an Authority Certificate from the Aboriginal Areas Protection • Authority to carry out proposed works or use land in the vicinity of sacred sites under the Northern Territory Aboriginal Sacred Sites Act 1989.

This figure has been removed to respect and protect the cultural sensitivities of the area following consultation with the Central Land Council and Traditional Owners.

# Nolans Rare Earth Project, Nolans Bore, Northern Territory: Cultural Heritage Management Plan • February 2016 8

# 2 IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT

### 2.1 Historic and Cultural Heritage Items

Archaeological surveys undertaken to inform the EIS for the Project include:

- Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd 2015, *Nolans Rare Earth Project, Nolans Bore, Northern Territory: Indigenous and Historic Cultural Heritage Assessment*, GHD on behalf of Arafura Resources Limited.
- Earthsea Pty Ltd 2010, Archaeological Survey of the proposed Haul Road Corridor, Nolan's Bore Rare Earths Project, GHD for the Nolans Bore Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).
- Earthsea Pty Ltd 2012, Archaeological survey of parts of EL28473, Nolan's Bore 2011-2012, GHD and Arafura Resources Ltd.
- Gunn, R 2006, *Nolans Bore Prospect Aileron, Central Australia: Archaeological Survey*, Arafura Resources Ltd.

The assessments identified a number of historic and cultural heritage items within, and in the vicinity of the Project area (within 100 m of proposed infrastructure), including 64 Aboriginal archaeological sites, 76 isolated artefacts and one potential historic site. Aboriginal site features include artefacts, quarries, scarred trees, grinding surfaces, reduction areas, and a rockshelter, potential habitation structure, and engraving. The potential historic site, Old Albies Bore and Yard, is associated with the pastoral history of Aileron station, and consists of a water tank, stock yards, and a Southern Cross windmill (**Table 2**).

Further details of historic and cultural heritage items in the vicinity of the Project area are presented in **Appendix 1, Table A1-1**.

Proposed Infrastructure	Heritage Items	Isolated Artefacts
Confidential	RWA8 - sacred site 5552-30 (including NP-1; NP-2; NP-3); NP-10; NP-11; NP-30; NP-32	NP-ISO-10; NP-ISO-11-1; NP-ISO-11-2; NP- ISO-12-1; NP-ISO-12-2
	NP-21; NP-22; NP-23; NP-24; NP-25; NP- 26; NP-27; NP-28; NP-29	NP-ISO-16-1; NP-ISO-16-2; NP-ISO-17; NP- ISO-18-1; NP-ISO-19; NP-ISO-22-1; NP- ISO-22-2; NP-ISO-22-3; NP-ISO-23; NP- ISO-25; NP-ISO-26; NP-ISO-27; NP-ISO-28- 1; NP-ISO-28-2; NP-ISO-29-1; NP-ISO-29-2
	NP-19; NP-20	-
	NP-16; NP-17; NP-18	NP-ISO-14
	NB-1; NB-2; NB-3; NB-4; NB-5; NB-6; NB-7; NB-8; NB-9; SP-1; SP-2; Scar 1; Scar 2; Scar 3; Site 1; Site 10; Site 11; Site 12; Site 13; Site 14; Site 15; Site 16; Site 17; Site 18; Site 19; Site 2; Site 3; Site 5; Site 6; Site 7; Site 8;	ISO1; ISO2; ISO3; ISO4; ISO5; ISO8, 24 unnamed isolated artefacts in the vicinity of Kerosene Camp Creek
	NP-4; NP-5; NP-6; NP-7; NP-8; NP-9; NP- 28, Old Albies Bore and Yard	NP-ISO-1-1, NP-ISO-1-2, NP-ISO-1-3, NP- ISO-2, NP-ISO-3, NP-ISO-4, NP-ISO-5-1, NP-ISO-5-2, NP-ISO-5-3, NP-ISO-6, NP- ISO-7-1, NP-ISO-7-2, NP-ISO-8, NP-ISO-9; NP-ISO-20; NP-ISO-21-1; NP-ISO-21-2; NP- ISO-30-1; NP-ISO-30-2

 Table 2.
 Historic and cultural heritage items in the vicinity of the Project area.

Proposed Infrastructure	Heritage Items	Isolated Artefacts
	NP-12, NP-13, NP-14, NP-15; NP-31; RWA9; RWA10	NP-ISO-13-1; NP-ISO-13-2; NP-ISO-15; NP- ISO-18-2; NP-ISO-22-1; NP-ISO-22-2; NP- ISO-22-3; NP-ISO-24

### 2.2 Potential Impacts

The Project will have varying potential impacts on identified historic and cultural heritage items. These include:

#### 2.2.1 Direct Impacts

Direct impacts on identified sites will occur in key areas within the footprint of proposed infrastructure; including the open cut pit, topsoil storage areas, dump sites, and tailings storage facility at the mine site, site buildings and residue storage facilities at the processing site, and the workers' accommodation and residue storage facility at the accommodation village.

It is considered that impacts would include excavation, earthworks, grading, establishment of structures and overburden, and likely result in partial or complete destruction of any historical and/or cultural sites present.

Overall, 67 Aboriginal archaeological sites (including 34 isolated artefacts) would be subject to direct impact. Of note are artefact scatters and a quarry with potential subsurface archaeological deposit, which have research potential (NB-2, Site 19, NB-4); intact and representative or locally rare examples of artefact scatters and quarries (NP-19, Site 10, Site 11, Site 15, Site 18, Site 1, Site 14, Site 5, Site 6, Site 7, Site 8, Site 12, Site 13, Site 16, Site 17, Site 3, NP-6); and scarred trees (Scar 3, SP-1, and SP-2) which are regionally rare.

#### 2.2.2 Indirect Impacts

Indirect impacts associated with vegetation clearance, spoil removal, vehicle movement, access road construction (within 100 m of existing tracks), and pipeline and power line construction (within 15 m of proposed service corridors) are also likely to occur.

It is considered that indirect impacts would include nearby vibration, dust, minor construction (such as fencing), storage of materials and/or traversing areas in light vehicles. Such activities would likely result in some disturbance or partial destruction of any historical and/or cultural sites present.

Overall, 62 Aboriginal archaeological sites (including 35 isolated artefacts) and one potential historical site would be subject to indirect impact. Of note are a rockshelter with potential subsurface archaeological deposit and an associated low density artefact scatter (NP-29) which is locally rare, and scarred trees (Scar 1, Scar 2) which are regionally rare.

A summary of historic and cultural heritage items identified within, and in the vicinity of, the Project area and anticipated impacts is provided in **Appendix 1, Table A1-2.** 

### 2.3 Sacred Sites

In addition to the above sites, one Restricted Works Area, RWA8, associated with sacred site 5552-30, has been recorded within the Project area; and would be subject to direct impact. The features of sacred site 5552-30 described in the Authority Certificate issued by the Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority include stone arrangements, soakages and rockholes.

This information has been removed to respect and protect the cultural sensitivities of the area following consultation with the Central Land Council and Traditional Owners.

# **3 MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION**

The management and mitigation measures identified for cultural heritage items within the Project area are based on:

- Anticipated impacts to heritage items;
- Assessed scientific (archaeological) significance, and (where known) overall heritage significance;
- Legislative requirements and the planning approval framework;
- Recommendations in previous archaeological survey reports; and
- Heritage best practice in accordance with the principles of *The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, 2013* (The Burra Charter).

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures**

Where possible, options to avoid adversely impacting identified heritage items should be considered. However, the construction phase of the Project cannot completely avoid harm to heritage items. Where items cannot be avoided, further works are recommended in order to mitigate impacts. A number of mitigation measures have been identified based on the type of site, site features, extent of impact (direct or indirect) and the significance of the site (**Table 3**). Prior to carrying out further works, an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object should be submitted to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment for approval.

Overarching methodologies for the mitigation measures outlined below, and management of archaeological material collected during mitigation works, are provided in **Appendix 3**.

The procedure for submission of an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object is provided in **Appendix 4**.

Should changes to the proposed works result in a direct impact to heritage items where currently an indirect impact is identified, or have an impact on heritage items where currently no impact is identified, additional mitigation measures would be required.

#### Table 3. Mitigation measures for cultural heritage items.

Site Type	Heritage	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
	Significance				
Sacred site/Restricted Works Area: Stone arrangements; Soakages; Rockholes	High overall cultural significance	Direct	<ul> <li>Arrange on site meeting between Proponent, Traditional Owners and archaeologist to discuss likely impacts to heritage values of RWA8 and appropriate controls and mitigation measures.</li> <li>Consult with the AAPA about the proposed works.</li> <li>Prepare research plan for submission with Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object.</li> </ul>	RWA8 (including NP-1, NP-2, NP-3)	Confidential information
Sacred site/Restricted Works Area: Hill; Swamp Rocky ridge; Sand	High overall cultural significance	Indirect	<ul> <li>Establish exclusion zones prior to commencement of the construction phase of the Project.</li> <li>Install flagging or barriers along boundary of adjacent key areas/access tracks.</li> </ul>	RWA9	
dune			<ul> <li>Mark with signage indicating no unauthorised entry.</li> <li>Consult with Traditional Owners during process of developing and installing appropriate fencing and signage.</li> </ul>	RWA10	
Aboriginal archaeological site:	Moderate or high	Direct	Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on	NB-3	
Scarred tree	scientific		Heritage Place or Object.	Scar 3	
	(archaeological)		Archival recording.     Consult with Traditional Owners reporting patential	SP-1	
	lighthoutiou		<ul> <li>Consult with Traditional Owners regarding potential management measures such as relocation of the scarred section of the trunk.</li> </ul>	SP-2	
Aboriginal archaeological site: Scarred tree	Moderate or high scientific (archaeological)	Indirect	Demarcate with temporary fencing prior to commencement of the construction phase of the Project to avoid accidental impacts.	Scar 1	
	Significance		<ul> <li>Mark with signage indicating no unauthorised entry.</li> <li>Consult with Traditional Owners during process of developing and installing appropriate fencing and signage.</li> </ul>	Scar 2	
Aboriginal archaeological site: Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological	Moderate or high scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct	<ul> <li>Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object.</li> <li>Archaeological test excavations.</li> <li>Where test excavations recover deposits of moderate</li> </ul>	NB-2	
Deposit • Quarry; Potential Archaeological Deposit			<ul> <li>or high significance:</li> <li>o Archaeological salvage excavations.</li> <li>Where test excavations recover deposits of low significance:</li> </ul>	Site 19	

Site Type	Heritage	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
	Significance		<ul> <li>Artefact collection, artefact relocation or no further action, to be determined following consultation between Proponent, Traditional Owners and archaeologist.</li> </ul>	NB-4	
Aboriginal archaeological site:	Moderate or high	Direct	Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on	NP-19	
Artefact scatter			Heritage Place or Object.	Site 10	
• Alteract Scatter, Quarry	significance		Artefact collection	Site 11	
Quarry	- 3			Site 15	
Quarry; Reduction				Site 18	
Area				Site 1	
Artefact Scatter;     Ouerna Crieding				Site 14	
Surface				Site 5	
Curraco				Site 6	
				Site 7	
				Site 8	
				Site 12	
				Site 13	
				Site 16	
				Site 17	
				Site 3	
				NP-6	
<ul><li>Aboriginal archaeological site:</li><li>Artefact scatter</li></ul>	Moderate or high scientific	Indirect	<ul> <li>Demarcate with temporary fencing for the duration of the construction phase of the Project or maintain a</li> </ul>	NP-11	
Artefact scatter;     Engraving	(archaeological) significance		minimum buffer distance of 50 m to avoid accidental impacts.	NP-10	
Artefact scatter; Habitation structure; Grinding surface			<ul> <li>Mark with signage indicating no unauthorised entry.</li> <li>Consult with Traditional Owners during process of developing and installing appropriate fencing and</li> </ul>	NP-32	
Artefact scatter;     Quarry: Reduction			signage.	NP-28	
area • Quarry; Artefact				NP-21	
scatter • Rockshelter; Artefact				NP-26	
Scatter				NP-27	

Site Type	Heritage	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
Artefact scatter;     Quarry: Grinding	Significance			NP-29	
surface • Artefact scatter;				NP-23	
Quarry; Reduction area; Grinding surface				Site 2	
				NP-9	
				NP-12	
Aboriginal archaeological site:	Low scientific	Direct or	Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on	NP-30	
Artefact scatter	(archaeological)	Indirect	Heritage Place or Object.	NP-17	
Quarry	significance		<ul> <li>Archival recording, artefact collection or no further action to be determined following consultation</li> </ul>	NP-18	
			between Proponent Traditional Owners and	NP-20	
			archaeologist.	NP-22	
				NP-24	
				NP-25	
				NB-1	
				NB-5	
				NB-6	
				NB-7	
				NB-8	
				NB-9	
				NP-4	
				NP-5	
				NP-7	
				NP-8	
				NP-16	
Aboriginal archaeological sites	Low scientific	Direct or	Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on	NP-ISO-10	
<ul> <li>Isolated artefact</li> </ul>	(archaeological)	Indirect	Heritage Place or Object for permission to destroy	NP-ISO-11-1	
	significance		prior to commencement of the construction phase of	NP-ISO-11-2	
			Once obtained no further action required	NP-ISO-12-1	
				NP-ISO-12-2	
				NP-ISO-14	

Site Type	Heritage	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
	Significance				
				NP-ISO-16-1	
				NP-ISO-16-2	
				NP-ISO-17	
				NP-ISO-18-1	
				NP-ISO-19	
				NP-ISO-23	
				NP-ISO-25	
				NP-ISO-26	
				NP-ISO-27	
				NP-ISO-28-1	
				NP-ISO-28-2	
				NP-ISO-29-1	
				NP-ISO-29-2	
				ISO1	
				ISO2	
				ISO3	
				ISO4	
				ISO5	
				ISO8	
				24 unnamed isolated artefacts in the vicinity of Kerosene Camp Creek NP-ISO-1-1	
				NP-ISO-1-2	
				NP-ISO-1-3	
				NP-ISO-2	
				NP-ISO-20	
				NP-ISO-21-1	
				NP-ISO-21-1	
				NP-ISO-3	

Site Type	Heritage	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
	Significance				
				NP-ISO-30-1	
				NP-ISO-30-2	
				NP-ISO-4	
				NP-ISO-5-1	
				NP-ISO-5-2	
				NP-ISO-5-3	
				NP-ISO-6	
				NP-ISO-7-1	
				NP-ISO-7-2	
				NP-ISO-8	
				NP-ISO-9	
				NP-ISO-15	
Aboriginal archaeological sites	Moderate or High	No	No further action required.	NP-31	
Artefact scatter	scientific	impact			
• Artefact scatter;	(archaeological)			NP-13	
Potential	significance				
Deposit				NP-1/	
Artefact scatter;					
Quarry				ND 15	
				INF-13	
Aboriginal archaoological aitee	Low sojontifio	No			
Isolated artefact	(archaeological)	impact	• No further action required.	NP-130-13-1	
	significance	mpaor		NP-130-13-2	
	J. J			NP-130-10-2	
				NP-130-22-1	
				NP-ISO-22-2	
				NP-ISO-22-3	
Potential historic place	Potential	Indirect	Archival photographic recording	Old Albies Bore and	
Old Albies Bore and		manoot	<ul> <li>Demarcate with temporary fencing for the duration of</li> </ul>	Yard	
Yard			the construction phase of the Project or maintain a		

Site Type	Heritage Significance	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Heritage Items	Key Area
			<ul><li>minimum buffer distance of 50 m to avoid accidental impacts.</li><li>Mark with signage indicating no unauthorised entry.</li></ul>		

### 3.2 Unexpected Finds

During the course of the Project, it is possible that unexpected historic or cultural heritage items or human skeletal remains may be discovered. Unexpected finds and human remains procedures will apply across the Project area. Explanations of these procedures will be included in the site induction which is compulsory for all Field Team Members.

Refer to Appendix 5 – Unexpected Finds Procedure – Historic and cultural heritage items.

Refer to Appendix 6 – Unexpected Finds Procedure – Suspected human remains.

### **3.3 Consultation and Communication**

Stakeholder consultation has not been undertaken during the preparation of this CHMP.

In order to maintain an effective consultation process, a Communications Plan has to be developed and adopted to keep stakeholders informed about the implementation of this CHMP, and the potential impacts of the Project on historic and cultural heritage. Consultation should be ongoing for the life of the Project.

This CHMP should be provided to Indigenous stakeholders and Traditional Owners for review prior to its adoption, and following any significant revision or modification. Approval should be sought prior to submitting a work approval application for archaeological mitigation or permission to disturb Aboriginal archaeological places and objects within the Project area.

## **4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND RISK ASSESSMENT**

### 4.1 Risk Assessment

The key activities and potential environmental impacts of the Project identified for historic and cultural heritage are summarised in **Table 4**. Risk assessment is based on (1) the likelihood of an impact occurring as a result of a proposed activity; and (2) the consequences of the impact if the event occurred.

The risk matrix, and definition of likelihood and consequence are provided in Appendix 7.



		Res	idual Risl	k
Activity	Potential Environmental Impact	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk
Site establishment (including vegetation clearing) results in physical disturbance of sites/objects of heritage significance, heritage items or places and/or sacred sites during construction of the Project.	Damage, destruction or removal of heritage items or sacred sites, including RWA8, which is a site of high scientific (archaeological) significance and a sacred site. Non-compliance of legislative requirements.	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium
Disturbance of previously unidentified of sites/objects of heritage significance, artefacts, skeletal remains during	Inadvertent damage, destruction or removal of heritage items or sites. Non-compliance of legislative requirements.	Minor	Unlikely	Low
construction of the Project.	Impact to sacred sites and/ or artefacts from build-up of dust (deposition).	Minor	Unlikely	Low
	Altered character of Aboriginal sacred sites or heritage places sites caused by vibration impacts (e.g. subsidence or modification to observed deposits and outcrops).	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low
Progressive water table drawdown from unsustainable groundwater extraction rates from the borefield.	Decline in water availability and/or damage to waterbodies of cultural significance, such as soaks.	Minor	Unlikely	Low

### 4.2 Control Measures

The planned controls to manage risk, listed below in **Table 5**, will be implemented to minimise potential impact to historic and cultural heritage.

Table 5.	Planned controls to manage i	risk.

Project Phase	Activity	Control Measures
Pre- construction	Site establishment (including vegetation clearing) results in physical disturbance of sites/objects of heritage significance, heritage items or places and/or sacred sites.	Identification of historic and cultural heritage sites and mitigation requirements as part of any Project related WHS induction to staff, contractors and other relevant personnel.

		<ul> <li>Development and implementation of this CHMP, including:         <ul> <li>Minimum buffer distance of 50 m or fencing surrounding identified archaeological sites and/or sacred sites to ensure no accidental impacts occur.                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>
		Traditional Owners and custodians.         AAPA Clearance certificate and CLC clearance certificates.
		An approval to carry out work on a heritage place or object will be sourced prior to any removal or destruction (Heritage Branch Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object).
		Work will be in accordance with agreed process with Traditional Owners.
		Compliance with Ground Disturbance Permit System, in the <b>Nolans Project Biodiversity Management</b> <b>Plan.</b>
Construction Operation	Disturbance of previously unidentified of sites / objects of heritage significance, artefacts, skeletal remains.	Identification of historic and cultural heritage sites and mitigation requirements as part of any Project related WHS induction to staff, contractors and other relevant personnel.
		<ul> <li>Development and implementation of this CHMP, including:         <ul> <li>Pre-clearing/disturbance visual investigations (complete - archaeological surveys undertaken to inform the EIS, see Section 2.1).</li> <li>Consultation and engagement with Traditional Owners and custodians.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		AAPA Clearance certificate.
		Development and implementation of an <b>Air and Dust</b> <b>Management Plan</b> .
Operation	Progressive water table drawdown from unsustainable groundwater extraction rates from the Southern basins borefield.	Identification of historic and cultural heritage sites and mitigation requirements as part of any Project related WHS induction to staff, contractors and other relevant personnel.
		Development and implementation of a Water Management Plan.
		Future recalibration of groundwater model, informed by historical operational data after several years of Project operations.

### 4.3 Accidental Impacts

In the event of accidental entry to a sacred site or interference with a Restricted Works Area, the actions in the **Nolans Project Emergency Response Management Plan** would be implemented.

In the event of an accidental impact to an historic and cultural heritage item, the following steps would be implemented:

- All works would stop immediately, and the Environmental Manager informed.
- The Environmental Manager would attend the location to assess the impact and determine a course of action, which would include:
  - Notifying the Heritage Branch and relevant Traditional Owners of the impact as soon as practicable.
  - Identifying any corrective measures or remediation works to mitigate the impact. This should be undertaken in consultation with the Traditional Owners and any other relevant parties.
  - Developing a short report on the impact, the circumstances under which it occurred, corrective measures taken, and lessons learned.
- The Environmental Manager will present a tool-box talk to Field Team Members summarising the incident, the circumstances under which it occurred, and detailing any additional controls to reduce the risk of the event reoccurring.
- The Environmental Manager will include any actions taken in the Monthly Environmental Performance Report.
- The Environmental Manager will revise and update the CHMP (where relevant) to ensure future accidental impacts are avoided.

## **5 COMPLIANCE**

### 5.1 Training

All Field Team Members (site personnel including employees, subcontractors and visitors) will receive cultural heritage awareness training during site inductions and toolbox talks. Training will reinforce the importance of heritage issues and the measures that will be implemented. Specifically, cultural heritage training will cover:

- The roles and responsibilities of personnel in regard to heritage protection and management.
- The location and types of identified heritage items, including sacred sites.
- The means of identifying heritage items.
- Work approval conditions relating to cultural heritage.
- Ground Disturbance Permit System relating to cultural heritage.
- Procedures to be followed in the event an unexpected find is discovered.
- Procedures to be followed in the event human skeletal remains are discovered.
- Procedures to be followed in the event of unauthorised entry and/or damage to a sacred site/Restricted Works Area.
- Procedures to be followed in the event of accidental impacts to an identified heritage item.

Key staff will undertake specific training relevant to their position and/or responsibilities. This training may be provided as tool-box talks or at a more advanced level by the Environment Manager or delegated representatives.

Records will be kept of all personnel undertaking the site induction and training, including the content of the training, date and name of trainer(s).

The roles of Project personnel and their responsibilities in relation to implementing this CHMP are outlined in **Section 6 Roles and Responsibilities.** 

#### 5.2 Monitoring

Inspections of identified heritage items by the Environmental Manager will occur for the duration of the Project, to ensure the effectiveness of protection and mitigation measures. Regular processes will include the following:

- Monthly inspections of signage, and flagging or barriers protecting Restricted Works Area exclusion zones by the Environmental Manager.
- Quarterly inspections of temporary fencing protecting Aboriginal archaeological places and objects by the Environmental Manager.

15

Additional monitoring of identified heritage items by the Environmental Manager if an issue is identified or a complaint is made, as required.

A register of issues identified through inspections will be maintained to ensure that any issues are recorded for future action. The Heritage Inspection Register is attached in Appendix 8.

### 5.3 Reporting

Reporting will be undertaken by the Environmental Manager, and will include as a minimum a Monthly Environmental Performance Report, Half-Year Report and Annual Performance Review. Each report will detail relevant training, inspections, and consultation undertaken for the reporting period relating to heritage management of the Project.

In addition, both internal and external audits will be undertaken in accordance with Section 6, Nolans Project Environmental Management Plan.

# 6 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The roles of Project personnel and key responsibilities in relation to implementing this CHMP are outlined below (**Table 6**).

Role	Key Responsibilities
Site Manager / Mine Manager	Allocate adequate resources to implement this plan and meet obligations to identify and protect items with historic and cultural heritage value.
	Secure the location of any unexpected finds or potential human skeletal remains.
	Undertake and/or authorise reporting to the Heritage Branch, Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment regarding the discovery of unexpected finds.
	Undertake and/or authorise reporting to the Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority and Central Land Council regarding unauthorised entry or interference with sacred sites/RWAs.
	Undertake and/or authorise reporting to the Northern Territory Police regarding the discovery of human skeletal remains.
Environmental Manager	Ensure the cultural heritage management and mitigation measures identified in this plan are implemented.
	Ensure inclusion of cultural heritage awareness training in site inductions and tool-box talks through input into induction documentation and sign off sheets.
	Coordinate relevant specialist subcontractors to conduct further works as specified in this plan.
	Act as a point of contact for Project personnel regarding this plan, and provide guidance and additional training as required.
	Update this plan as required.
	Maintain records of past plans and archaeological survey reports.
	Arrange for yearly review of the CHMP.
	Ensure any actions taken are included in the monthly, half yearly and annual performance review.
Environment Team Members	Manage community consultation with Indigenous stakeholders and Traditional Owners.
	Distribute copies of this plan to stakeholders for review prior to its adoption, and as required over the life of the Project.
	Arrange site based meeting regarding potential impacts to items with historic or cultural heritage value.
	Develop a Communications Plan to keep stakeholders informed about the implementation of this CHMP.
	Maintain records of stakeholder consultation.
	Log complaints in accordance with the <b>Environmental Management</b> <b>Plan</b> (Section 6.7 and Appendix B).

 Table 6.
 Project personnel roles and responsibilities.

Role	Key Responsibilities
	Act as a point of contact for the community regarding this CHMP, and respond to enquiries and complaints as required.
Health and Safety Officer	Monitor radio communications and capture all information relating to unauthorised entry or interference with sacred sites/RWAs, and the discovery of human skeletal remains.
	Undertake and/or manage investigations into unauthorised entry or interference with sacred sites/RWAs.
	Provide summary of incidents, actions and responses to the Emergency Response Coordinator.
	Provide tool-box talks that summarise emergency responses regarding cultural heritage and details of any incidents which have occurred and management measures implemented.
Field Team Members (site personnel including employees, subcontractors and visitors)	Undertake cultural heritage awareness training as part of site induction and toolbox talks, and sign agreement that they understand and accept their responsibilities in regard to cultural heritage.
	Report any accidental impact to historic or cultural heritage items to the Environment Officer.
	Report the discovery of any unexpected historic or cultural heritage items to the Environment Officer.
	Report the discovery of potential human skeletal remains to the Emergency Operations Officer.
	Report any entry to a sacred site or interference with a Restricted Works Area to the Emergency Operations Officer.

#### **REVIEW OF THIS PLAN** 7

This CHMP will be maintained over the life of the Project. It will be updated as required, and reviewed within one year. Any changes will be recorded in the document control section for each revision.

A copy of the updated plan and summary of changes will be maintained by the Environmental Manager, and provided to the Department of Mines and Energy.

### Appendix 1 – Historic and cultural heritage sites

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
Confidential information	NP-1 (within RWA8)	Confidential	Confidential	20m (N/S) x 20m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Engraving	A gneiss dome crops out to the west of the ephemeral creek, with a large semi-vertical face in the creek gully. There are two surfaces with possible petroglyphs (discrete areas with pounded cupules/pits). The largest of these is on the surface facing towards the creek. Only 2 quartz flakes were found surrounding the gneiss dome owing to extensive disruption to soils from grazing activity.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-2 (within RWA8)			60m (N/S) x 40m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Habitation structure; Grinding surface	A high density artefact scatter is located around a gneiss pavement adjacent to an ephemeral creek. An unusual site feature was an uplifted broken slab of gneiss which may be a possible habitation structure. A potential grinding surface was identified near the uplifted slab. Artefacts are located mostly around the base of the gneiss platform, and on a flat area in the eastern portion of the site. Artefacts include quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, and flaked pieces; silcrete flakes; a quartzite muller; marble-like quartz grindstones and flakes of marble-like quartz; and a bifacial flaked gneiss artefact. The site is likely to contain subsurface artefacts in the surrounding alluvial soils. Artefact densities were high at 40/m <sup>2</sup> , with an average of 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> across the site.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-3 (within RWA8)			200m (E/W) x 100m (N/S)	Artefact scatter	This area consists of wide spread clusters and lower densities of stone artefacts around a central gneiss dome and creek gully. A low density background artefact scatters connects this site to NP- 2. Stone artefacts include quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flake pieces; silcrete flakes; quartzite muller; and a silcrete muller. Artefact densities in some areas are as high as 30- 40/m <sup>2</sup> , with lower concentrations connecting these high density areas.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-10			50m (N/S) x 50m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area	A major vertical quartz vein crops out at this site and has been quarried. The bedrock has been worked, and there is a high density distribution of artefacts across the site. Artefacts consist mainly of quartz flakes, cores, and flaked pieces. Other	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						artefacts include a red quartzite grindstone (200mm x 100mm x 20mm). Cores range up to 200mm in size, with an average size of 100mm. The site is restricted to the base of the slope and does not extend out onto the surrounding plain. Average artefact density is approximately $10/m^2$ , with a maximum in places of $100/m^2$ .	
	NP-11			15m (N/S) x 15m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	The site is located on a wedge shaped gravel slope between low rocky strike ridge outcrops. Artefacts found in this area consisting of quartz cores, flakes, flake pieces, a quartzite pounding stone and a quartzite grindstone. The available quartz has not been intensively worked, with an average artefact density of $0.25/m^2$ with a maximum of $10/m^2$ .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-30			16m (N/S) x 14m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A low density scatter of quartz cores and flakes, a silcrete flake, a chert flake and two gneiss pounding stones were found in disturbed soils around the base of a gneiss dome. Average artefact density for the site is 0.04/m <sup>2</sup> , with a maximum density of 1/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-32			1000m (N/S) x 200m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area; Grinding surface	a very high occurrence of archaeological features and artefacts along the narrow area leading up to the saddle between the two main ridges in this area. Although densities were variable, the stone artefact densities were consistently high that it was not possible to define any particular site boundaries. Therefore this area has been identified as a single site consisting of clusters of high densities of stone artefacts and areas of quarried raw material areas of quartz connected by a high density background scatter of stone artefacts. Several grinding surfaces were noted on the low outcropping gneiss domes. Stone artefacts consist mostly of quartz flakes, cores, flake pieces, and retouched flakes. Other stone artefacts noted in this area included chert flakes, chert utilised flakes, chert tula adze slug, chert retouched flakes, chalcedony flakes, chalcedony cores, steeply retouched chert flakes, and a very fine grained banded metamorphic material retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						Meta-sandstone and marble grinding stone fragments and quartzite pounders were noted throughout the site area. Three dolerite pounding stones were also found, with one showing use wear from grinding. One of the dolerite pounders had bifacial flaking on one end. The dolerite was a very fine grained variety. Quartz artefacts were made on consistently high quality opaque and clear varieties of the material. Quartz cores were very regular in their reduction as multiplatform cores occurring mostly across the site as approximately 50mm to 100mm diameter cores. Stone artefacts were found in higher densities in the one metre margins around the gneiss pavements that cropped out along the site area at various points. At the top of the saddle a considerable lag deposit of quartz and quartz crops out on the side of the ridges which has been used as a significant raw material source. Average stone artefact densities ranged from $0.001/m^2$ to $0.25/m^2$ in the areas sampled, with an average density along the site of $0.12/m^2$ . Maximum artefact densities noted ranged from $15/m^2$ to $0.1/m^2$ , with an average maximum artefact density of $4.3/m^2$ . Given the size of the site up to the saddle between the hills, this area has the potential to contain thousands of stone artefacts.	
	NP-ISO- 10			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 11-1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 11-2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz transverse broken flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 12-1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 12-2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz transverse broken flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	RWA8 - sacred				Stone arrangements;		APAA Authority Certificate (C2013/205)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	site 5552- 30				Soakages; Rockholes		
	NP-17			26m (N/S) x 12m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	Low density artefact scatter on an exposure of gravel and sand. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flake pieces. Very small quartz flakes were observed (<5mm). Average artefact density estimated to be 0.03/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 2/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-18			10m (N/S) x 5m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	Low density artefact scatter on an exposure of gravel and sand. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, flakes, flake pieces; a broken chert retouched flake, and a gneiss bifacial flaked artefact with edge damage. Very small quartz flakes were observed (<10mm). Average artefact density estimated to be approximately 0.03/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 2/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-ISO- 14			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-19			25m (N/S) x 10m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A discrete low density artefact scatter on an extensive sand plain. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flake pieces; silcrete flake; and pounding stones made on gneiss and basalt which show ground surface use wear and hertzian cone fractures from use as an anvil. Artefact densities average 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> , with a maximum density of 4/m <sup>2</sup> . The quartz shows a moderate amount of reduction with very little cortex noted. There is a relatively high frequency of pounding stones found at this site. The site has been impacted by a vehicle access track from the adjacent bore (Bore SB025).	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-20			5m (N/S) x 5m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A small artefact scatter on the sand plain. The site consists of two quartzite pounding stone fragments, a quartz core, and several small quartz flakes (<5mm). The site has an average artefact density of 0.2/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 1/m <sup>2</sup> . The artefacts are located on a small area of coarse grained sand and small gravels, which is an unusual geomorphological exposure on the sand	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						plain. The site also coincides with an area of slight elevation on the 600m contour.	
	RWA10 - Sacred site 5552- 44				Rocky ridge; Sand dune		APAA Authority Certificate (C2013/205)
	NP-21			30m (N/S) x 10m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A band of stone artefacts can be found at the base of the gneiss hillside and outcrop. The distribution of artefacts is quite restricted to this area. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, and flake pieces; a chert retouched flake; and a tabular piece of marble (c. 50mm in diameter and 10mm thick) which has been hammer-dressed around the margins and has a ground surface. A discrete 4m <sup>2</sup> area of high density quartz artefacts provided very good examples of knapped quartz. Artefact densities averaged 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 25/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-22			18m (N/S) x 5m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A discrete, small low density scatter of stone artefacts amongst a low outcrop of gneiss boulders (<25cm height). The site is restricted to the outcrop area. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, flakes, retouched flakes, and flaked pieces; three chert retouched flakes; a chalcedony utilised retouched flake; grindstones made of gneiss and ortho- quartzite; and a gneiss pounding stone. Artefact densities are sparse and not clustered with a likely maximum of 20 artefacts at this site. The average artefact density is estimated to be 0.2/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 2/m <sup>2</sup> . A corroded piece of soldered tin was located at the site, and is likely to date around or before World War II. It is stamped on the base - 109	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-23			44m (N/S) X 35m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry; Grinding surface	A low basalt outcrop (rare in the area) is the main feature of this site with three grinding surfaces on two sets of low boulders. Two grinding surfaces were located on the central outcrop with the third to the north near several Eucalypts. The surfaces are abraded and ground, with significant pounding use wear. Several large basalt flakes and negative	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						flake scars on bedrock were found amongst the outcrop illustrating that it was utilised as a stone source. Three quartz flakes were identified around the outcrop. Visibility of artefacts was significantly affected by trampling and soil disturbance around the site in an area frequented by cattle.	
	NP-24			100m (N/S) x 40m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	An artefact scatter found on a 100m exposure along a slight rise on the plain near a large creek gully. Artefacts consist of basalt flakes and pounding stones; quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes and flake pieces. Large quartz cores dominate the assemblage, owing to the sheet wash erosion of the site. Artefact densities averaged 0.01/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 2/m <sup>2</sup> . Historic artefacts noted on the site included a post-WWII Southwark beer bottle, Norwegian sardine tin, soldered tin can fragments, and later materials such as cans and bottles dating to the 1970s.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-25			95m (SW/NE) x 10m (NS/SW)	Artefact scatter	A low density scatter found across a c.100m area within three small erosional exposures. The first exposure contained three chert flakes, a chert retouched flake, two quartz cores and two flakes. The second exposure contained a gneiss grindstone and a chalcedony flake. The third exposure contained a gneiss grindstone and two quartz flakes. An ortho-quartzite muller stone was located across the pastoral track some 25 metres north of the site. The scatter was probably exposed by erosional processes (sheet wash) and overgrazing. Average artefact density is 0.01/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-26			200m (N/S) x 150m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	The site consists of an extensive artefact scatter along the western side of Rabbit Creek on a slight rise that is formed by a gneiss outcrop out on the plain. A large scatter of knapped quartz artefacts is associated with outcrops of quartz and a lag deposit. The site is notable for a very high diversity of artefact types including quartz cores, retouched flakes , flakes, flake pieces; chert retouched flakes, flakes; chalcedony retouched flakes, flakes; gneiss and ortho-quartzite grindstones ; an ortho-quartzite	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
----------	-------	---------	----------	--	----------------------------------	---	---------------------------------
						pounding stone, and basalt pounding stones. High densities of quartz artefacts located in discrete areas throughout the site area. Artefact densities are highly variable with an average of 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> to a maximum of 15/m <sup>2</sup> . The scatter continues in and around the gneiss outcrop.	
	NP-27			60m (N/S) x 45m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	Quartz lag deposit and outcrop of gneiss used as a source for quarrying raw stone material. Tabular marble-like quartz is interbedded with the gneiss, and a number of pieces have been shaped into rounded flat artefacts approximately 100mm in diameter x 20mm thick. A gneiss bifacial flaked artefact which produces a sharp edge around the entire margin was also found at this site (150mm x 100mm x 20mm). Artefacts consisted of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, and flake pieces, basalt pounding stone; a gneiss grindstone; and a quartzite grindstone. Artefact densities averaged 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 10/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-28			61m (N/S) x 80m (E/W)	Artefact Scatter	A moderate density artefact scatter on an undulating rise at the start of the valley floor as it opens out to the west into a wider plain. Stone artefacts include quartz cores, flakes, retouched flakes, and flaked pieces; a dolerite pounding stone; an ortho-quartzite grindstone fragment; gneiss grindstone fragments and pounding stones. Artefacts are partially visible owing to the erosion and redisposition of soils. Average artefact densities are 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 10/m <sup>2</sup> . Historic artefacts noted at the site included a c.1930-40s glass jar with metal lid, embossed on the body above the base - THIS JAR ALWAYS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE JAR JAM MFS. ASSOCIATION OF N.S.W.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-29			Shelter: 2.5m (W) x 1.5m (L) x 1.6m (H); surrounding artefact scatter: 30m x 20m	Rockshelter; Artefact Scatter	On the western end of the outcrop a slab of gneiss forms a small overhang approximately 1.5m above the surrounding sand plain. The shelter has a sand and gravel floor overlying gneiss bedrock. Deposits associated with the shelter have an estimated depth of <20cm, and have potential to contain	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						evidence of Aboriginal occupation. A low scree slope (gradient <20°) is located to the north of the shelter with fragments of gneiss, gravel, and sand. A high density scatter of stone artefacts is located in the shelter area and down the slope. Stone artefacts include quartz cores, flakes, and flaked pieces; with a high proportion of retouched quartz flakes (average length 20mm). Other artefacts include silcrete, chert and chalcedony flakes and retouched flakes. A number of marble grinding slabs with retouched margins were also found at the site. Artefact densities were highest on the scree slope, exceeding an average of 10/m <sup>2</sup> . Artefact densities on the plain within 10m of the gneiss outcrop averaged 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> , or a maximum of 5/m <sup>2</sup> . A background scatter of artefacts extends outwards from the site, falling to 0.001/m <sup>2</sup> in the north.	
	NP-31			145m (N/S) x 60m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	Quartz has been quarried at this locality and it also contains tabular outcrops with a marble-like appearance. Although there is a lag deposit and outcrops of quartz, there is a consistent amount of knapped artefacts amongst the naturally occurring scatter. The quartz scatter follows either side of the large gully onto the valley floor. Artefact types include quartz cores, flakes, retouched flakes, flake pieces; bifacial flaked gneiss artefacts; gneiss pounding stones; a gneiss anvil (hammer dressed); and a chalcedony core. Significant numbers of the quartz cores showed evidence of bifacial working. Marble-like tabular quartz artefacts were found across the site with flaking around the margins to produce artefacts 100mm-150mm in diameter. Artefact densities averaged 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> on lower slopes with natural quartz outcrops and dense lag deposits, down to 0.05/m <sup>2</sup> along the gully. Maximum artefact densities noted were >10/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-ISO- 16-1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological
				NI/A	loolotod ortofoot	Quarta eero	Survey Report
	17			IN/A	ISUIALEU AITEIACI	Qualtz core.	39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	16-2						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	18-1 NP-ISO-			Ν/Δ	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake	39) AHMS (2015 p
	19			IN/75		Qualiz liane.	39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	18-2						39)
	NP-ISO- 22-1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 23			N/A	Isolated artefact	Gneiss bifacial flaked artefact.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	22-2						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Gneiss bifacial flaked artefact.	AHMS (2015, p.
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake	39) AHMS (2015 p
	22-3			1.077			39)
	NP-ISO- 25			N/A	Isolated artefact	Marble-like tabular quartz grindstone.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	26						39)
	NP-ISO- 27			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartzite muller.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	28-1			N//A			39)
	NP-ISO- 28-2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	29-1			,, .			39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	29-2			00 (1)(0) 45			39)
	NP-12			80m (N/S) x 45m	Artefact scatter	An arteract scatter concentrated around the base	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
				(⊏/♥♥)		Artefacts consisted of quartz cores, retouched	
						flakes, flakes, flaked pieces; chert flakes,	
						retouched flakes; basalt retouched flake, and a	

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						gneiss pounding stone. Quartz cores were generally 20-30mm in size. Quartz flakes had an average length of 20mm. The highest artefact densities of $4/m^2$ are found on a small elevated flat area on the outcrop where artefacts have been transported by water washing over the site. Some quartz artefacts are on top of the gneiss dome. Densities average $0.01/m^2$ with $0.25/m^2$ in the elevated flat area of the site.	
	NP-13			100m (E/W) x 40m (N/S)	Artefact scatter	Artefacts are scattered along the southern side of a very low rise on the alluvial plain. The artefacts have possibly been exposed due to erosion and are visible through sparse grasses. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flake pieces; chert flakes, retouched flakes; three chalcedony retouched flakes ; a gneiss pounding stone (Plate 48); two gneiss grindstones; and an ortho-quartzite pounding stone. Artefact densities were generally low with an average of 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> and maximum density of 3/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-14			15m (N/S) x 10m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	Low density artefact scatter in a patch of deflated alluvial soils on a low rise. Artefacts consist of quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flaked pieces; chert flake and two retouched flakes; ortho- quartzite pounding stone and grindstone. Artefact densities were generally low with an average of 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> and maximum density of 4/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-15			125m (N/S) x 130m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	This is an extensive, highly diverse and abundant artefact scatter surrounding the creek/soakage area. Artefact types include quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, flake pieces, utilised flakes; chert flakes, retouched flakes, tula adze, tula adze slug, utilised flakes; and chalcedony cores, flakes, retouched flakes, utilised flakes. Grindstones were made of ortho-quartzite meta- sandstone and gneiss. Pounding stones were made of ortho-quartzite and gneiss. There was a high frequency of broken grindstones with an estimated minimum of 50. There was a high diversity of chert types, which represented a large	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						proportion of the site assemblage. Artefact densities averaged 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> around the gullies and open areas with higher densities of >25/m <sup>2</sup> within areas of erosion. A large meta-sandstone grindstone was exposed in a gully buried to a depth of 50cm, indicating high potential for stratified subsurface archaeological materials in this area. Only one historical artefact was observed at the site, consisting of a small piece of corroded tin. The potential for sub-surface archaeology at the soak site was demonstrated by a gneiss grindstone buried in-situ in the creek banks.	
	NP-16			10m (N/S) x 10m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A total of eight artefacts clustered in on a low gravel rise on the plain likely to have been exposed from erosion. Artefacts consist of a quartzite pounder; a chert broken flake; six quartz flakes; and a quartz core. The average artefact density is 0.08/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 1/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-ISO- 13-1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Gneiss grindstone.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 13-2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	NP-ISO- 15			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p. 39)
	ISO1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake measuring 22mm length x 18mm width x 20mm thick. No cortex, feather termination.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	ISO2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz retouched flake measuring 65mm length x 55mm width x 25mm thick. No cortex, feather termination.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	ISO3			N/A	Isolated artefact	Chalcedony core measuring 25mm length x 48mm width x 40mm thick. No cortex, 1 x platform.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	ISO4			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core measuring 80mm length x 40mm width x 36mm thick. No cortex, multiplatform.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	ISO5			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core measuring 42mm length x 28mm width x 26mm thick. No cortex, multiplatform, bipolar.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	ISO8			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz retouched flake measuring 68mm length x 43mm width x 13mm thick. No cortex, feather termination.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 38)
	Site 5			5m x 5m	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A discrete quarry at a high quartz source. Residual quartz of good quality with moderate level	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
						quarrying activity. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, broken flakes, flake piece. Quartz is the only raw material present. Average artefact density is 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 15/m <sup>2</sup> .	
	Scar 3			6m x 2m	Scarred tree	A fallen tree with a scar on the trunk. The scar measures 100cm length by 25cm wide. The tree is very weathered and in poor condition.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)
	Site 3			300m x 300m	Artefact scatter; Quarry; Grinding surface	A complex of quartz knapping and quarry areas amongst gneiss outcrop. Several grinding patches are located across the outcrops. A number of discrete quarrying areas are found throughout the complex. Stone artefacts consist of flakes, cores, broken flakes, flake piece. Fragments of quartzite grindstones were found in the site complex. The site contains areas of very high artefact densities in excess of 100/m <sup>2</sup> .	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)
	Scar 2			6m x 2m	Scarred tree	A fallen tree has a scar on the mid trunk. The tree had snapped just below the scar. The scar measures 60cm length by 12 cm wide. The tree is in poor condition.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)
	Site 2			3m x 3m	Artefact scatter	A small scatter of quartz stone artefacts. Several quartz cores have been knapped at this locality producing approximately 25 artefacts. Artefact sizes range from 20mm to 200mm. Some are partially buried in the aggrading sandy soil. Possibly more artefacts sub-surface. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, broken flakes, flake piece. Quartz is the only raw material present. Average artefact density is 0.6/m <sup>2</sup> . The site is in good condition with minimum erosion.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)
	Scar 1			5m x 5m	Scarred tree	The scar is located at the base of a large desert oak tree. The scar measures 80cm length by 20cm wide. The tree is still live and healthy.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)
	Site 1			60m x 40m	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A discrete quarry of a quartz source. Residual quartz of fair quality with a low level of quarrying. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, broken flakes, flake piece. Quartz is the only raw material present. Average artefact density is 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 5/m <sup>2</sup> . The site has been impacted by the current access road.	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 39)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	Site 8			25m x 25m	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A discrete quarry at a high quality quartz source. Residual quartz of good quality with moderate to high of level quarrying activity. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, retouched flakes, broken flakes, and flake pieces. Quartz is the main raw material present. Hammerstones were made on granite. Average artefact density is 5/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 30/m <sup>2</sup> .	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 40)
	Site 7			35m x 30m	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A discrete quarry at a high quality quartz source. Residual quartz of good quality with moderate to high of level quarrying activity. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, retouched flakes, broken flakes, flake piece, and hammerstones. Quartz is the main raw material present. Hammerstones were made on granite. Average artefact density is $5/m^2$ with a maximum of $30/m^2$ .	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 40)
	Site 6			30m x 25m	Artefact scatter; Quarry	A discrete quarry at a high quality quartz source. Residual quartz of good quality with moderate to high of level quarrying activity. Stone artefacts include flakes, cores, broken flakes, flake piece, and hammerstones. Quartz is the main raw material present. Hammerstones were made on granite. Average artefact density is 5/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 25/m <sup>2</sup> .	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2010, p. 40)
	Site 17			N/A	Quarry; Reduction area	Low to medium density quartz quarry and reduction site	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 16			12000 ha	Quarry; Reduction area	Low to medium density quartz quarry and reduction site	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 15			N/A	Artefact scatter	Low density artefact scatter	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 14			40 ha	Artefact scatter; Quarry	Small quartz quarry and reduction site	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 13			150 ha	Quarry	Minor quartz quarry	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 12			3000 ha	Quarry	Minor quartz quarry	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 11			1160 ha	Artefact scatter	Small artefact scatter on low granite outcrop	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)
	Site 10			740 ha	Artefact scatter	Small artefact scatter on low granite outcrop	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 14)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	Site 19			2300 ha	Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	Low density diverse artefact assemblage	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 15)
	Site 18			Unknown	Artefact scatter	Low density artefact quartz artefact scatter	Earthsea Pty Ltd (2012, p. 15)
	NB-1			15m x 10m	Artefact scatter	An eroded area revealed a quartz assemblage of two cores, 19 flakes, and 27 other "pieces", along with a single chalcedony flake.	Gunn (2006, p. 15)
	NB-2			150m x 75m	Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	A light scatter of stone artefacts was located around a series of low granite domes on the western side of the creek. The scatter had a maximum density of 5/m <sup>2</sup> , although for the most it was well below 1/m <sup>2</sup> . A total of 40 artefacts were located, including nine cores, one retouched silcrete flake and two grindstone fragments.	Gunn (2006, p. 15)
	NB-3			N/A	Scarred tree	The scarred tree stands on the sandplain, 300 m east of the creek and in no clear association with other landscape features (Figure 5, Plate 11). The tree is an old bloodwood, with a girth of 65 cm. The scar is 96 cm long and 13 cm wide, with a bark regrowth of 6 cm and an orientation of 350. The tree is alive.	Gunn (2006, p. 15)
	24 Isolated Artefacts			Unknown	Isolated artefact	An undifferentiated background scatter concentrated along the eastern side of Kerosene Camp Creek, consisting of 17 flakes (13 quartz, 3 chalcedony, 1 silcrete), 5 cores (3 quartz, one chalcedony, one quartzite), one retouched flake (ortho-quartzite) and one grindstone fragment (gneiss). The distribution also tends to concentrate around scatter site NB1.	Gunn (2006, p. 16)
	SP-1			N/A	Scarred tree	A bloodwood tree with "coolamon-shaped" scar. Scar is around 50 cm long and 12 cm wide, located about a metre up the trunk, and had bark regrowth of around 6 cm. The tree is alive.	Gunn (2006, p.19)
	SP-2			N/A	Scarred tree	A bloodwood tree with "coolamon-shaped" scar. Scar is around 42 cm long and 13 cm wide, located about 92 cm up the trunk, and had bark regrowth of around 5 cm. The tree is alive.	Gunn (2006, p.19)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	NB-4			11m x 4m	Quarry; Potential Archaeological Deposit	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture. This quarry site has a maximum artefact density of >100/m <sup>2</sup> at its centre. The outcrop is at ground level and, while lacking any clear signs of quarrying, flaked bedrock is apparent.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NB-5			5m x 2m	Quarry	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NB-6			3m x 1m	Quarry	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NB-7			7m x 4m	Quarry	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NB-8			10m x 10m	Quarry	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NB-9			5m x 4m	Quarry	A small outcrop of white reef quartz occurs along the base of the <i>Apmere Apatye.nte</i> hills, immediately west of Kerosene Camp Creek. The outcrop has been worked to produce cores for flake manufacture.	Gunn (2006, pp. 14-16)
	NP-4			25m (N/W) x 60m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A surface scatter of approximately twelve artefacts, including two ortho-quartzite cores with pounding/ground surfaces, quartz cores and flakes, and a broken chalcedony flake. Average artefact density is 0.01/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	NP-5			15m (N/S) x 15m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	Very low density artefact scatter with approximately 10 artefacts, consisting of quartz cores, flakes, and flake pieces. One quartz core had crushing on one platform with multiple step terminations. Cores were mostly 10-15cm in length and flakes 10-15cm in length. Quartz is of a high quality, and a high proportion of the artefacts had no cortex. Average artefact density is <0.01/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-6			400m (N/S) x 800m (E/W)	Artefact scatter; Quarry	Quartz lag deposit and outcrop that has been a resource for low intensity utilisation. Quartz cores, retouched flakes, flakes, and flake pieces are located across the strike ridge and on the surrounding lower slopes. Quartz cores averaged 10- 20cm in size. One chert retouched flake was identified on the ridge top, and one silcrete retouched flake was identified on the southern slope. Average artefact densities are estimated to be $0.01/m^2$ varying to $0.1/m^2$ in areas, with a maximum density of >10/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-7			2m (N/S) x 2m (N/S)	Artefact scatter	A total of 5 artefacts in a localised area on the edge of the thicket of mulga. Artefacts consist of one chalcedony retouched flake (40mm length), two chalcedony flakes (<10mm length), one gneiss pounder (>100mm length), and one quartz core (>20cm). Artefact density is 1.25/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-8			30m (N/S) x 15m (E/W)	Artefact scatter	A low density artefact scatter located in a highly eroded zone 30m to the east of a gully. Artefacts were identified in gravel swales and consisted of five quartz cores, quartz flakes, and chalcedony flakes. Most flakes were broken. Approximately 15 artefacts were located in this area, with potential for greater artefact numbers in subsurface deposit. Cores ranged from 15-50mm in size, and flakes averaged 20mm in length. Several translucent flakes of high quality quartz with cores made of opaque material. Chalcedony flakes were white. Average artefact density approximately 0.01/m <sup>2</sup> with a maximum density of 0.25/m <sup>2</sup> .	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological Survey Report
	NP-9			600m (E/W) x 300m (N/S)	Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area	The rocky strike ridge contains high amounts of quartz and minor outcrops of tabular marble-like quartz that have been utilised as a stone material resource. There are extensive lag deposits of fractured quartz across the hillside and lower slopes. Artefacts were identified across the site in varying densities. Artefacts include quartz cores, flakes, retouched flakes, flake pieces, marble-like quartz slabs with retouched margins, and marble-like quartz flakes. Six chalcedony flakes, and one chert retouched flake were also noted. Artefact densities were generally concentrated around the lower slopes; however, were identified across the hilltop. Artefact densities averaged approximately 0.1/m <sup>2</sup> around the base of the hill and decreased to 0.01/m <sup>2</sup> over the top of the hillside. Maximum artefact densities were noted in reduction areas exceeding 20/m <sup>2</sup> especially to the south of the main ridge. Two pieces of corroded tin (with a small square of mesh attached to the side) were found on the southern side of the area.	AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	Old Albies Bore and Yard				Water tank, stock yards, Southern Cross windmill		AHMS (2015, Appendix 3)
	NP-ISO-1- 1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-1- 2			N/A	Isolated artefact	Meta-SST grindstone.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-3			N/A	Isolated artefact	Chalcedony retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-1- 3			N/A	Isolated artefact	Gneiss bifacial flaked artefact.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-4			N/A	Isolated artefact	Chalcedony distal flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)
	NP-ISO-5- 1			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, p. 38)

Key Area	Site	Easting	Northing	Extent	Site Feature	Description	Archaeological
							Survey Report
	NP-ISO-6			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
							38)
	NP-ISO-5-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz distal retouched flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	2						38)
	NP-ISO-5-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Marble-like quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	3						38)
	NP-ISO-7-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Marble-like quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	1						38)
	NP-ISO-7-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	2						38)
	NP-ISO-8			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
							39)
	NP-ISO-9			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
							39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz flake.	AHMS (2015, p.
	20						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	21-1						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartzite pounder.	AHMS (2015, p.
	21-2						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	30-1						39)
	NP-ISO-			N/A	Isolated artefact	Quartz core.	AHMS (2015, p.
	30-2						39)
	RWA9 -				Hill; Swamp		APAA Authority
	Sacred						Certificate
	site 5552-						(C2013/205)
	41						, ,

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	inates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
Confidential	RWA8 - sacred site 5552- 30	Confidential	Confidential	Stone arrangements; Soakages; Rockholes	High overall heritage significance	Direct
	NP-1 (within RWA8)			Artefact scatter; Engraving	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-2 (within RWA8)			Artefact scatter; Habitation structure; Grinding surface	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-3 (within RWA8)			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-10			Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-11			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-32			Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area; Grinding surface	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-30			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-10			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-11- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-11- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-12- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-12- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-17			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-18			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-14			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-23			Artefact scatter; Quarry; Grinding surface	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect

Table A1-2.	Potential impac	ts on identified	l cultural i	heritage items.

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
	NP-26			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-27			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-29			Rockshelter; Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-31			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP-22			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-24			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-25			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-30			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-16- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-16- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-17			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-18- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-19			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-23			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-25			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-26			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-27			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-28- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-28- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-29- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
	NP- ISO-29- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-18- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP- ISO-22- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP- ISO-22- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP- ISO-22- 3			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP- ISO-24			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP-21			Artefact scatter; Quarry	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-28			Artefact scatter	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	RWA10 - Sacred site 5552- 44			Rocky ridge; Sand dune	High overall heritage significance	Indirect
	NP-20			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP-19			Artefact scatter	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP-15			Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	High scientific (archaeological) significance	No Impact
	NP-16			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-15			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-13- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No impact
	NP- ISO-13- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	No impact
	NP-12			Artefact scatter	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-13			Artefact scatter	Moderate	No impact

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
					(archaeological)	
	NP-14			Artefact scatter	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	No impact
	Scar 3			Scarred tree	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 1			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 10			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 11			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 12			Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 13			Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 14			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 15			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 16			Quarry; Reduction area	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 17			Quarry; Reduction area	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 18			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 19			Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 3			Artefact scatter; Quarry; Grinding surface	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 5			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 6			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 7			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Site 8			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
	SP-1			Scarred tree	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	SP-2			Scarred tree	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	Scar 1			Scarred tree	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	Scar 2			Scarred tree	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	Site 2			Artefact scatter	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	ISO8			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-1			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-5			Quarry	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-6			Quarry	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-7			Quarry	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-8			Quarry	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-9			Quarry	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	ISO1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	ISO2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	ISO3			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	ISO4			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	ISO5			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NB-2			Artefact scatter; Potential Archaeological Deposit	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-3			Scarred tree	Moderate scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NB-4			Quarry; Potential	Moderate scientific	Direct

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
				Archaeological Deposit	(archaeological) significance	
	RWA9 - Sacred site 5552- 41			Hill; Swamp	High overall heritage significance	Indirect
	NP-6			Artefact scatter; Quarry	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP-9			Artefact scatter; Quarry; Reduction area	High scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-20			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-21- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-21- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-30- 1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-30- 2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP-7			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-6			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-7-1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP- ISO-7-2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Direct
	NP-4			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-5			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP-8			Artefact scatter	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-1-1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-1-2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-1-3			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect

Key Area	Site	Coord (GDA/MG/	linates A Zone 53)	Site Feature	Heritage Significance	Potential Impact
		Easting	Northing			
	NP- ISO-3			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-4			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-5-1			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-5-2			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-5-3			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-8			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	NP- ISO-9			Isolated artefact	Low scientific (archaeological) significance	Indirect
	Old Albies Bore and Yard			Water tank, stock yards, Southern Cross windmill	Potential heritage significance	Indirect

# Appendix 2 – Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority – Authority Certificates

# Appendix 3 – Mitigation Measures

### **Exclusion Zones**

Exclusion zones will be established around the perimeter of Restricted Works Areas (RWAs) within and adjacent to the Project area prior to commencement of the construction phase of the Project. The zones will be clearly marked with signs indicating no unauthorised entry, and flagging or barriers will be installed along the boundaries of key areas and access roads adjacent to the RWAs.

Signage will be highlighted in the site induction and tool-box talks.

#### Table A3-1. Sites to which exclusion zones will apply.

Location	Site
Confidential	RWA8
	RWA9
	RWA10

### **Fencing of Scarred Trees**

Where scarred trees are located outside the footprint of proposed infrastructure, the preferred management option is for them be retained *in situ*. Trees will be protected with temporary fencing installed prior to commencement of the construction phase of the Project and retained until completion of the decommissioning phase. The fencing should delineate the Tree Protection Zone.

#### Table A3-2. Sites where scarred trees will be fenced.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	Scar 1; Scar 2

### **Archival Recording of Scarred Trees**

The following general approach would apply to the archival recording of scarred trees:

- Prior to construction, all scarred trees that will be directly impacted by the proposed infrastructure would be documented. Archival recording would be undertaken in accordance with the following heritage best practice standards and guidelines:
- Long, A 2003, *Scarred trees: an identification and recording manual*, Aboriginal Affairs Victoria.
- Documentation should include coordinates (taken using a hand held GPS), tree species, tree condition, girth at chest height (1.5 m above ground), scar dimensions, overgrowth dimensions, scar orientation, origin of scar, type of scar, scar preservation, tool marks, stem regrowth present, and sketches documenting the overall character and dominant features of each scar, as appropriate.
- Photographs will be taken including the entire tree showing the position of the scar, details of the scar, details of any tool marks, and the tree within its broader environmental context.
- A suitably qualified arborist (or equivalent) will be engaged to assess the age of the trees and their scars.

#### Table A3-3. Sites where scarred trees will be recorded.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	NB-3; Scar 3; SP-1; SP-2

### **Archaeological Test Excavations**

The following general approach would apply to test excavations of Aboriginal archaeological sites with potential archaeological deposit that are of high and moderate scientific (archaeological) significance:

- Excavations will be undertaken by a team of qualified archaeologists and Traditional Owners.
- All test pits would attempt to be dug at regular intervals appropriate to the scale of the site being investigated. Test pit intervals would aim to both characterise the type of Aboriginal objects present and determine the spatial extent of the site for small sites, this may mean test pits every 5 m, whereas for larger sites it may be every 100 m. This resolution may be increased in areas where archaeological material is identified.
- All test pits would be one square metre and would be excavated by hand in 10 cm spits.
- All excavation would be undertaken manually using shovels, mattocks, trowels, etc.
- If depths of archaeological deposits are >75 cm it is possible that shoring (or increasing the size of the test pit to allow stepping) may be required.
- Test pits would be excavated until it proves unsafe to continue excavation, the depth
  of the proposed impact has been reached; and/or to the base of Aboriginal object
  bearing units (continuing to below this depth to confirm the soils below are culturally
  sterile).
- All material from the test pits would be bucketed and sieved through a 5 mm mesh sieve. Where the soil deposits prove to be fine materials and/or where Aboriginal objects prove to be small, and at the discretion of the excavation director, a 3 mm sieve may also be used.
- All Aboriginal objects and other archaeological material would be appropriately labelled and bagged for subsequent analysis.
- Additional samples for dating, soil, and/or palaeo-climatic information will also be taken where appropriate.
- Soil profiles would be recorded, including scaled drawings, photographs, written descriptions, etc.
- Where the above methodology proves unfeasible or unsuitable, it may be revised at the discretion of the excavation director in consultation with the Proponent, Heritage Branch and Traditional Owners based on the specific circumstances of the archaeological site, timeframes and/or other issues.

#### Table A3-4. Sites where archaeological test excavations will be undertaken.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	Site 16; NB-2; NB-4

### Archaeological Salvage Excavations

Where test excavations recover deposits of moderate or high significance, salvage excavations in accordance with methods outlined below should be implemented.

Where test excavations recover deposits of low significance, any additional mitigation measures would be determined following consultation between Proponent, Traditional Owners and archaeologist, and may include artefact collection, or no further action.

The following general approach would apply to salvage excavations of Aboriginal archaeological sites:

- Salvage locations will depend on the outcomes of the test excavations, and would be
  decided based on the presence of archaeological features of interest (e.g. hearths,
  dense knapping layers), sites or objects with moderate or high archaeological or
  cultural significance (e.g. is rare in the local area or Northern Territory, or has the
  potential to answer research questions that can add to our understanding of pre- or
  post-contact Aboriginal land use and occupation of central Australia), and/or stratified
  deposits. Test pits where these features are present will be expanded into open area
  excavations within the impact area (that part of the Aboriginal archaeological site
  which will be impacted by the proposed works).
- Excavations will be undertaken by a team of qualified archaeologists and Traditional Owners.
- All excavation would be undertaken manually using shovels, mattocks, trowels, etc.
- Salvage excavations would be undertaken in contiguous 1 m<sup>2</sup> test pits and in 5 cm spits. Each test pit would be dug discretely with AHD heights being obtained every four spits to ensure vertical integrity. Each test pit would be given an alpha-numeric label for identification purposes. A standard site recording form will be used for each spit of each excavation unit. Details will include site name, date, site recorder, spit number and depth, square ID, description of finds, description of soil, sketch plan of excavation (if relevant to show feature) and a bucket tally. Excavations would continue until three consecutive culturally sterile spits are encountered. For Work Health & Safety purposes, excavations are unlikely to extend deeper than 1.5 m below the natural land surface regardless of findings.
- If depths of archaeological deposits are >75 cm it is possible that shoring (or increasing the size of the test pit to allow stepping) may be required.
- Salvage pits would be excavated to either geological units, until it proves unsafe to continue excavation, and/or the base of identified Aboriginal artefact bearing units, continuing below this depth to confirm the soils below are culturally sterile.
- All material from the salvage pits would be bucketed and sieved through 5 mm mesh sieve. Where the soil deposits prove to be fine materials and/or where Aboriginal objects prove to be small, and at the discretion of the excavation director, a 3 mm sieve may also be implemented.

- All Aboriginal objects and other archaeological material would be appropriately labelled and bagged for subsequent analysis.
- During, or immediately following, completion of the excavation, a range of soil and chronological samples would be taken. Soil and environmental samples would be taken at regular intervals through the soil profile (probably in the order of 2-5cm) and retained in labelled plastic bags for subsequent analysis. Radiocarbon and/or OSL samples would be taken in areas where Aboriginal objects are found, and generally try to bracket the deposit (to provide a maximum and minimum age). Material for radiocarbon analysis may also be undertaken opportunistically if archaeological features containing charcoal or other dateable material are evident.
- If discrete high-density artefact concentrations or cultural features, such as hearths, are revealed during the excavation, these will be excavated and recorded (by photography and planning). The locations of in situ artefacts in such features may also be individually recorded.
- Where the above methodology proves unfeasible or unsuitable, it may be revised at the discretion of the excavation director in consultation with the Proponent, Heritage Branch and Traditional Owners based on the specific circumstances of the archaeological site, timeframes and/or other issues.

## **Artefact Collection**

The following general approach would apply to all artefact collection:

- Prior to construction, all sites of moderate and high scientific (archaeological) significance directly impacted by the project would be re-investigated by a heritage consultant and Traditional Owners.
- A sampling strategy for artefact collection would be developed in the field by the heritage consultant and Traditional Owners, based on the size of the site and area to be impacted by the Project.
- All Aboriginal objects would be bagged separately in zip-lock bags, and each tagged with a tyvek label with a unique identifier number. The number would be used to document the object location, attributes and provide context with other objects recovered.
- A record of all material collected from the surface would will be made, and should include coordinates (taken using a hand held GPS), a site plan or map, and appropriate photographs.
- This CHMP will be updated by the Environmental Manager to include the final location(s) of the collected artefacts.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	NP-19
	Site 10; Site 11; Site 15; Site 18; Site 12; Site 13; Site 1; Site 14; Site 5; Site 6; Site 7; Site 8; Site 3; Site 16; Site 17
	NP-6

#### Table A3-5. Sites where artefact collection will be undertaken.

## Fencing of Aboriginal archaeological sites

The following general approach would apply to fencing of Aboriginal archaeological sites:

- If proposed infrastructure is within 50m of an Aboriginal archaeological site, temporary fencing should be erected during the construction phase of the Project and sites appropriately signposted.
- A high proportion of sites are located in association with specific geological features such as outcrops of gneiss and basalt. Fencing should extend around these features. These features should be avoided, and proposed infrastructure set back from the base of steep ridges and lower gneiss foothills.
- Traditional Owners should be engaged in the process of developing and installing appropriate fencing and signage.
- Where there is uncertainty regarding the extent of an Aboriginal archaeological site, a qualified archaeologist should be involved in an inspection to identify any visible Aboriginal archaeological objects (usually stone artefacts) on the ground surface to guide installation of fencing.

#### Table A3-6. Sites where fencing will be installed.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	NP-10; NP-11; NP-32
	NP-28; NP-21; NP-26; NP-27; NP-29;
	NP-23
	NP-12
	Site 2
	NP-9

## Archival photographic recording of potential historic site

The following general approach would apply to archival photographic recording of the potential historic site:

- Prior to construction, an archival record of the potential historic site that will be indirectly impacted by the proposed infrastructure would be prepared. Photographic recording would be undertaken in accordance with the following heritage best practice standards and guidelines:
  - ICOMOS 1996, Principles for the recording of monuments, groups of buildings and sites.

- NSW Heritage Office 1998, *How to prepare archival records of heritage items*, NSW Heritage Office, Parramatta.
- NSW Heritage Office 2006, *Photographic recording of heritage items using film or digital capture*, Heritage Office, Department of Planning.
- The photographic recording should include the landscape context of the site, and each building, structure or movable item within the site and their relationship to each other.
- An annotated plan of the site will be prepared showing each building, structure or movable item, and the position and direction of the camera for each image.
- A photographic catalogue describing each image will be prepared.

Table A3-7. Sites where photographic archival recording will be undertaken.

Key Area	Site
Confidential	Old Albies Bore and Yard

## **Management of Archaeological Material**

### Aboriginal archaeological objects

The mitigation works will result in the collection of Aboriginal archaeological objects. The following general approach would apply to artefact management:

- During the Project, all Aboriginal archaeological material would be stored with the heritage consultant for analysis and documentation.
- After the artefacts have been documented, the heritage consultant would return it to the Proponent for disposition in accordance with agreements following negotiations between the Proponent and Traditional Owners. Options for the disposition of material include:
- transfer of custodianship to Tradition Owners;
- return or reburial of artefacts within the Project area; or,
- in the event that the recovered artefacts are of particular significance or archaeological interest, negotiations may be undertaken with the Traditional Owners for deposition at an alternative location, such as a museum.

### **Historical artefacts**

The mitigation works may result in the collection of historical artefactual material and the long term curation of this material needs to be ensured. This would in general require the proponent provide long-term storage.

The following general approach would apply to storage of historical artefacts:

• During the project, all historic archaeological material would be stored with the heritage consultant for analysis and documentation.

- Towards the end of the project, the heritage consultant would return the artefactual material to the Proponent for long-term storage on site.
- In the event that the recovered artefacts are of particular significance or interest, negotiations may be undertaken with an appropriate museum and/or historical body for their accession and display.

# Appendix 4 – Procedure for submitting an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object

### Procedure for submitting an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object

### Purpose

This procedure details the process for submitting an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object (work approval application) to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment.

### Scope

This procedure is applicable prior to all activities conducted by Project personnel that will have an impact on identified historic or Aboriginal cultural heritage items during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project.

### Procedure – Submitting an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object

In the event that an identified heritage item will be impacted by the Project, the following steps shall be taken:

- The Environmental Manager will complete an Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object. A single application form with a schedule listing all heritage sites that will be impacted can be prepared.
- If the proposed work involves disturbance of an archaeological site, the Environmental Manager will engage a qualified archaeologist to prepare a research plan for an appropriate recording and/or archaeological salvage program to be submitted with the work approval application.
- The research plan should incorporate the overarching methodologies provided in **Appendix 3** of the CHMP, as appropriate, and include:
  - the names and qualifications of key personnel who will be involved with the proposed works, and
  - the organisation(s) represented.
- When complete, the work approval application and supporting documentation should be sent to: The Chief Executive, Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment, GPO Box 2520 DARWIN NT 0801.
- If requested, the Environmental Manager should provide the Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment with further information relevant to assessing the work approval application.
- Work should not commence in the vicinity of the heritage item until the Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment gives written approval.

The **Application to Carry Out Work on Heritage Place or Object** form approved for use under section 72 of the *Heritage Act 2011* is provided below.
# Appendix 5 – Unexpected Finds Procedure – Historic and cultural heritage items

## **Unexpected Finds Procedure – Historic and cultural heritage items**

#### Purpose

This procedure details the actions to be taken when an unexpected historic or Aboriginal cultural heritage item (site, place or object) is found during construction, operation or decommissioning activities. This information should be included in any heritage induction for Project personnel.

#### Scope

This procedure is applicable to all activities conducted by Project personnel that have the potential to uncover surface or sub-surface historic or Aboriginal cultural heritage items.

Unexpected finds do not include heritage items that have been previously identified during an archaeological assessment and are covered by a relevant approval.

### **Potential Types of Unexpected Finds**

The following Aboriginal archaeological site features have previously been identified in the vicinity of the Project area: artefacts, quarries, scarred trees, grinding surfaces, reduction areas, rockshelter, habitation structure, engravings.

Potential historic heritage items are likely to be associated with pastoral activities in the Project area, including camp sites, fences etc.

#### Procedure – Historic and cultural heritage items

In the event that a potential heritage item is encountered during construction the following steps shall be taken:

- STOP ALL WORK in the vicinity of the find and immediately notify the Environmental Manager.
- The Environmental Manager will record the details of the find (a description of the item and its location), take photographs, and ensure that the area is adequately protected from further disturbance.
- The Environmental Manager will contact a suitably qualified cultural heritage consultant to conduct a preliminary assessment of the find and provide advice on how to proceed. A site inspection will be arranged, if required.
- If the find is identified as an historic or Aboriginal cultural heritage item, the Environmental Manager will notify the Heritage Branch, Department of Lands, Planning and the Environment, in writing within 7 days.
- Further action such as heritage assessment, historical research, archaeological excavation and/or archival recording may be required before continuing work in the area, in accordance with any advice received from the Heritage Branch.

Contact details for regulatory bodies are provided in **Section 2.1** of the **Nolans Project Emergency Response Management Plan**.

Appendix 6 – Unexpected Finds Procedure – Suspected human remains

# **Unexpected Finds Procedure – Suspected human skeletal remains**

### Purpose

This procedure details the actions to be taken when suspected human skeletal remains are found during construction, operation or decommissioning activities. This information should be included in any heritage induction for Project personnel.

## Scope

This procedure is applicable to all activities conducted by Project personnel that have the potential to uncover suspected human skeletal remains.

All human skeletal remains (both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal) are subject to statutory controls and protection. The *Heritage Act 2011 (NT)* defines human skeletal remains as 'relics' which are considered to be archaeological objects if they relate to the past human occupation of the Territory; and are in an archaeological place.

### Procedure – Suspected human skeletal remains

- STOP ALL WORK in the vicinity of the find and contact the Site Manager / Mine Manager. Move all Field Team Members away from the area.
- The Site Manager / Mine Manager, Environmental Manager, and Health and Safety Officer will attend the find location, and ensure that the area is adequately protected from further disturbance.
- The Site Manager / Mine Manager will notify the Northern Territory Police as soon as possible of the location of the remains.
- Should there be reasonable grounds to suspect the skeletal remains are Aboriginal, the discovery must also be reported to:
- the Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority, and
- the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment under Section 20(1) of the Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1986 (Cth).
- No further work can be undertaken at this location until the appropriate government authority gives written consent.

Contact details for emergency services and regulatory bodies are provided in **Section 2.1** of the **Nolans Project Emergency Response Management Plan**.

# Appendix 7 – Risk Matrix

An environmental risk assessment has been undertaken for the Project EIS using the risk matrix in **Table A6-1**. Risk assessment is based on (1) the likelihood of an impact occurring as a result of an event; and (2) the consequences of the impact if the event occurred. The descriptions of likelihood and consequence are detailed in **Table A6-2**.

	Consequence					
Likelihood	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic	
Almost Certain	Medium	High	High	Extreme	Extreme	
Likely	Medium	Medium	High	High	Extreme	
Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High	
Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	
Rare	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	

### Description of Risk Rating.

Extreme	Intolerable - Risk reduction is mandatory wherever practicable. Residual risk can only be accepted if endorsed by senior management.
High	Intolerable or tolerable if managed to as low as reasonably practicable - Senior management accountability
Medium	Intolerable or tolerable if managed to as low as reasonably practicable - Management responsibility
Low	Tolerable - Maintain systematic controls and monitor

#### Table A6-2. Descriptions of Likelihood and Consequence.

Likelihood	Description
Almost Certain	The event is expected to occur in most circumstances. This event could occur at least once during a project of this nature. 91-100% chance of occurring during the project
Likely	The event will probably occur in most circumstances. This event could occur up to once during a project of this nature. 51-90% chance of occurring during the project
Possible	The event could occur but not expected. This event could occur up to once every 10 projects of this nature. 11-50% chance of occurring during the project
Unlikely	The event could occur but is improbable. This event could occur up to once every 10-100 projects of this nature. 1-10% chance of occurring during the project
Rare	The event may occur only in exceptional circumstances. This event is not expected to occur except under exceptional circumstances (up to once every 100 projects of this nature). Less than 1% chance of occurring during the project
Consequence	Description
Insignificant	Minor repairable damage to more common structures or sites. No disturbance of historic and/or cultural heritage sites.
Minor	Moderate or repairable damage or infringement to sensitive structures or sites of cultural significance or sacred value.
Moderate	Considerable damage or infringement to sensitive structures or sites of cultural significance or sacred value.

Major	Major damage or infringement to sensitive structures or sites of cultural significance or sacred value.
Catastrophic	Irreparable and permanent damage to sensitive structures or sites of cultural significance or sacred value.

# Appendix 8 – Heritage Inspection Register

	Heritage Inspection Register					
#	Date	Time	Inspected By	Heritage Item	Description of Issue	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						